### Children's Network

Children's Network of San Bernardino County concerns itself with "children at-risk" defined as minors who, because of behavior, abuse, neglect, medical needs, educational assessment, or detrimental living situations, are eligible for services from one or more of the Children's Policy Council Member Agencies. Those agencies include:

Juvenile Court

Transitional Assistance

**Inland Regional Center** 

**Probation Department** 

Public Health

Preschool Services

County Library

Public Defender

Superintendent of County Schools

First 5 San Bernardino

**Board of Supervisors** 

Department of Children's Services

Behavioral Health

County Administrative Office

Children's Fund

Sheriff's Office

County Counsel

Community Action Partnership

Economic and Community Development

Arrowhead Regional Medical Center

District Attorney's Office

## Table of Contents

2003 Child Data Summary6
Children's Network Outcomes12
Interagency & Community Partnerships17
Appendix 1 San Bernardino County Demographic Data19
Appendix 2 Child Abuse & Neglect Data35
Appendix 3 Children's Fund43
Appendix 4 Education Data48
Appendix 5 Welfare Data63
Appendix 6 Behavioral Health68
Appendix 7 Probation Report73
Sheriff Department81
District Attorney83

#### Children In California

9,419,970 children live in California

#### A child in California:

- is abused or neglected every 4 minutes
  - is born into poverty every 5 minutes
    - is killed by gunfire every 19 hours
      - dies before his or her first birthday every 3 hours

#### California Rankings

#### California Ranks:

5th among states in infant mortality

9th among states in percent of babies born at low birthweight

13th among states in the percent of babies born to mothers who receive early prenatal care

29th among states in per pupil expenditures

40th among states in the percent of children who are poor

(1st represents the best state for children and 51st represents the worst state for children)

#### Child Poverty in California

Number of poor children, 1,714,720

Percent of children who are poor, 18.6%

Number of adults and children receiving cash assistance from Temporary Assistance

for Needy Families (TANF), 1,106,624

Maximum monthly TANF cash assistance for a family of three, \$679

#### Child Hunger in California

Number of children who receive food stamps, 1,161,170

Percent of eligible persons who receive food stamps, 54%

Number of children in School Lunch Program, 2,659,390

Number of women and children receiving WIC (Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants & Children), 1,266,542

(continued next page)

#### Early Childhood Development in California

Percent of children under age six with all parents in the labor force, 56.5% Number of children served by Head Start, 98,767 Number of children served by the Child Care and Development Block Grant, 202,000 Average annual cost of child care for a four-year-old in a center, \$4,858

#### Child Welfare in California

Number of children who were victims of abuse & neglect, 132,181 Number of children in foster care, 100,451 Number of children adopted from foster care, 8,713 Number of grandparents raising their grandchildren, 271,294


#### Education in California

Amount spent per pupil in public school, \$6,314 Percent of fourth graders reading below grade level, 79% Percent of fourth graders below grade level in math, 75% Average class size in public schools, 22.4 Average class size in public secondary schools, 28.5

#### Child Health in California

Number of children without health insurance, 1,515,000 Percent of children without health insurance, 15.2% Percent of two-year-olds who are not fully immunized, 26.8%





#### San Bernardino County Children Data

According to the California Department of Finance projections, there are 572,365 children and youth under the age of 18 years in San Bernardino County. (Prepared by: Program Analysis and Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health)

In June 2003, the rate of San Bernardino County children living in poverty was 237.5 per 1000, representing 135,598 children. (Prepared by: Program Analysis and Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health)

In 2004, 39,684 unique children were referred to the Department of Children's Services for suspected child abuse and neglect. That represents an almost 10% increase in referrals received in 2004 as compared to 2003. The Child Maltreatment Rate in San Bernardino County is 10.7 per 1000, compared to 11.7 per 1000 in California, and 12.4 per 1000 in the United States. (Prepared by: San Bernardino County Department of Children's Services and HSS Legislation & Research Unit)

As of March 31, 2005, 2,087 pregnant women were screened for alcohol, tobacco and other drugs through the Perinatal SART (Screening, Assessment, Referral & Treatment) process in San Bernardino County. Overall, 43% of those pregnant women tested positive for substance use; that is, they were using alcohol, other drugs and/or tobacco during their pregnancy. (Prepared by: Public Health Program Analysis & Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health)

In 2004 there were 6,514 minors detained in Juvenile Hall. In 2003, the Juvenile Arrest Rate in San Bernardino County was 29.2 per 1000, compared to 20.0 per 1000 for California, and 21.4 per 1000 for the United States. (Prepared by: San Bernardino County Probation Department, and HSS Legislation & Research)

The Child Mortality Rate in San Bernardino County is 64.2 per 100,000 children, compared to California, 52.5 per 100,000 (2001), and 66.5 per 100,000 in the United States. (Prepared by: Program Analysis and Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health)

The 2003-04 San Bernardino County High School Graduation Rate is 85.1%, compared to California, 82.7%. (Prepared by San Bernardino County HSS Legislation & Research Unit, and Public Health Program Analysis & Statistics)

#### San Bernardino County At-Risk Rates Compared to California and the United States

San E	San Bernardino County Califo		United States
Children in Poverty <sup>1</sup>	237.5 per 1,000	183.8 per 1,000	173.5 per 1,000
Child Maltreatment <sup>2</sup> (0-17 years)	10.7 per 1,000	11.7 per 1,000	12.4 per 1,000
Juvenile Arrests <sup>3</sup> (0-17 years)	29.2 per 1,000	20.0 per 1,000	21.4 per 1,000
Child Mortality <sup>4</sup> (0-17 years)	64.2 per 100,000	52.5 per 100,000	66.5 per 100,000
High School <sup>5</sup> Graduation Rates	85.1%	82.7%	


<sup>1.</sup> Poverty Status in The Past 12 months by sex and age. 2003 American Community Survey. U.S. Census Bureau; California Department of Finance, May 2004.


<sup>2.</sup> Child Maltreatment 2002, US Dept. of Health and Human Services; University of California at Berkeley Center for Social Services Research, July 2004.


<sup>3.</sup> Crime in the US 2002, Federal Bureau of Investigation, US Dept. of Justice; Office of Attorney General, California Dept. of Justice, 2003. 4. May 2005, National Center for Health Statistics. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; California and San Bernardino County


Data from San Bernardino County Dept. of Public Health.

<sup>5</sup> CA Dept. of Education, 2003-04.









# Children's Network Children's Network Activities & Outcomes 2005



#### Children's Network 2005 Activities & Outcomes

#### Children's Policy Council

- Continued Support of the Child Welfare Services Self Assessment process and forwarded recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.
- Implemented the process to track group home complaints in conjunction with AB 2149, the County sponsored group home legislation passed in 2004.
- Facilitated the procurement process for the Promoting Safe & Stable Family federal funds, and the Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention & Treatment funds, forwarded contract recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.
- Facilitated a joint procurement for enhanced RCL 12 group home beds with the Departments of Children's Services & Behavioral Health, forwarded contract recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.
- Forwarded recommendation to the Board of Supervisors to declare April 2005 Child Abuse Prevention Month in San Bernardino County.
- Began strategic planning process with the Juvenile Court to address the treatment needs of children and youth impacted by substance abuse.
- Continued the development of the SART system in conjunction with First 5 and other partners to screen, assess, and provide treatment services for children ages 0-5 who are at high risk for emotional/behavioral problems.
- Continued to facilitate the Drug Endangered Children Task Force in conjunction
  with the Sheriff's Office, the Departments of Children's Services and Public
  Health to insure a coordinated response for children found at methamphetamine
  drug manufacturing sites.
- Sponsored the 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Children's Network Conference: "Young Children, Adolescents, and Adults...The At-Risk Chain Reaction."
- Continued coordination activities with the Countywide Gangs and Drugs Task Force on early intervention strategies with youth at high risk for gang affiliation.
- Participated in the Mental Health Services Act planning process.

#### Children's Fund

- There were 35,381 total children served by Children's Fund in FY 2004/2005.
- There were 2,929 children served through the Daily Referral Program.
- \$468,340 was expended to assist families with living expenses.
- \$19,997 was expended to meet the health care needs of children.
- \$110,812 was spent on proprietary projects.
- \$705,255 was spent on the Christmas Celebration of Giving.

#### **Child Care Planning Council**

- The AB 212 childcare employee retention program completed its fourth year. There were 429 incentive stipends distributed to childcare employees totaling \$632,500.
- The Council awarded \$7725 in partnerships this year.
- On April 22, 2005, the Council collaborated with the Riverside County Child Care Consortium to co-host the 1<sup>st</sup> Annual Inland Empire Child Development Legislative Breakfast. Nearly 200 child care community members, educational leaders, and elected officials came to the event featuring Ronald Lalley, Ed.D., codirector, Program for Infant Toddler Caregivers, who was the keynote speaker.
- The Special Needs Subcommittee acted as the Advisory Committee to the SB 1703 Project, administered by KidsNCare (County Superintendent of Schools). This project has trained over 1000 childcare employees to help better serve the special needs childcare community.
- The Training Subcommittee purchased the website www.ChildCare Trainings.org. This web site will be a free listing of trainings/workshops available to childcare employees throughout San Bernardino County.



#### Family Preservation Councils (Multidisciplinary Teams)

- Served 585 at-risk children through the regional Family Preservation Councils.
- Served an additional 62 at-risk children through consultation and referral.
- Prevented the need for foster care placement for 91.5% of the children referred.
- Diverted 74 from group home placement for an estimated savings of \$2.4 million (based on an RCL 12 group home rate of \$5,613 per month at a six month length of stay).





## Child Abuse Prevention Awards Breakfast



2004 Lifetime Advocate
Julian Weaver









#### Child Abuse Prevention Council

- Collaborated on the Child Abuse Prevention Campaign with cities throughout San Bernardino County. Received proclamations from cities, provided materials for city residents.
- Distributed over 230,000 relevant awareness pieces regarding positive parenting.
- Attended or was represented at 132 meetings, safety fairs, city council meetings, & other gatherings of residents in San Bernardino County.
- Tracked number of drownings & children left unattended in vehicles for long term analysis of incidents as they pertain to safety campaigns.
- Filled 70 orders for thousands of awareness materials provided to partner agencies.
- Hosted the Annual Children's Network Conference with more than 600 individuals attending. Several out-ofstate & local workshop presenters provided regional professionals best practices in many disciplines.
- Joined the Far Southern Counties Regional Child Abuse Prevention Councils in statewide and regional themes and awareness campaigns.
- Hosted the Annual Awards Breakfast honoring individuals and professionals for outstanding work on behalf of abused children.








Children from Ontario's Maple Street Headstart entertain the audience.



2004 Honorees



#### Interagency & Community Partnerships

In an effort to improve outcomes for children and their families, Children's Network helps to establish and support partnerships with other public and private child serving agencies throughout San Bernardino County and beyond. The following is a listing of some of the partnerships in which Children's Network is involved:

Head Start Shared Governance Board

County School Attendance Review Board

Countywide Gangs & Drugs Task Force

Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

Law Enforcement Education Partnership

Children's Lobby

Child Death Review Team

Montclair Community Collaborative

First 5 Advisory Board

Workforce Investment Board Youth Council

Perinatal Coalition on Drug & Alcohol Abuse

San Bernardino City & Ontario/ Montclair School Attendance Review Boards (SARB)

Family 2 Family Integration Team

Southern Region Child Abuse Prevention Coalition

Southern Region Child Death Review Coalition

#### Children's Network Collaborations

Focus West Advisory Council


East Valley Community Collaborative

High Desert Partnership for Kids

Bear Valley Community Network


# San Bernardino County Demographic Data







#### San Bernardino County Population Demographics by Age and Race/Ethnicity Year 2005 Population Projections

Race/Ethnicity	All Ages	18 Years and Over	Under 18 Years	% Under 18 Years








Prepared by: Research, Analysis, and Vital Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health Sources: (1) California Department of Health Services, Death Statistical Master Files, 1993-2003

 State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, CA, May 2004

#### Child Death Review Team

The following table presents the Child Death Review Team's (CDRT) findings for deaths in 2004. Similar to the Fetal Infant Mortality Review process, information is obtained from parents, vital records, the Coroner's Office, and medical records. The intent of the review process is to identify factors or circumstances contributing to child deaths in the hope of preventing future occurrences. It should be noted that the conclusions of the CDRT may not match the counts by cause of death compiled from vital records.








#### Causes of Death Among Persons Under One Year of Age San Bernardino County Residents, 2003

Cause of Death Description	ICD-10 Code*	Number of Deaths
	7	

<sup>\*</sup>International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> Revision of the World Health Organization (ICD-10), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics Cause-of-Death Lists for Tabulating Mortality Statistics Instruction Manual Part 9 (Updated November 2001), List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death.

Prepared by: Research, Analysis, and Vital Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health Source: California Department of Health Services, Death Statistical Master File, 2003
September 2005

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes Newborn affected by maternal factors and by complications of pregnancy, labor and delivery; Disorders related to length of gestation and fetal malnutrition; Birth trauma; Intrauterine hypoxia and birth asphyxia; Respiratory distress of newborn; Other respiratory conditions originating in the perinatal period; Infections specific to the perinatal period; Hemorrhagic and hematological disorders of newborn; Syndrome of infant of a diabetic mother and neonatal diabetes mellitus; Necrotizing enterocolitis of newborn; Hydrops fetalis not due to hemolytic disease; Other perinatal conditions.




#### Causes of Death Among Persons Age 1-17 Years San Bernardino County Residents, 2003

Cause of Death Description	ICD-10 Code*	Number of Deaths
	_	
	_	
	<b>-</b>	1211
		11 0

<sup>\*</sup>International Classification of Diseases, 10<sup>th</sup> Revision of the World Health Organization (ICD-10), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics Cause-of-Death Lists for Tabulating Mortality Statistics Instruction Manual Part 9 (Updated November 2001), List of 130 Selected Causes of Infant Death.

Prepared by: Research, Analysis, and Vital Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health Source: California Department of Health Services, Death Statistical Master File, 2003 September 2005






NOTE: Infant Mortality Rate defined as infant (less than one year of age) deaths per 1,000 live births.


\*Simple Infant Mortality Rate defined as infant deaths in particular year divided by live births in that year, birth-deaths not matched.

Prepared by: Research, Analysis, and Vital Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health

Sources: (1) California Department of Health Services, Death Statistical Master Files, 1993-2003


(2) California Department of Health Services, Birth Statistical Master Files, 1993-2003






Prepared by: Research, Analysis, and Vital Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health


Sources: (1) California Department of Health Services, Birth Statistical Master Files, 1993-2003
(2) State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, CA, May 2004





Prepared by: Research, Analysis, and Vital Statistics, County of San Bernardino Department of Public Health


Source: California Department of Health Services, Birth Statistical Master Files, 1993-2003




















#### Department of Children's Services Year to Date

#### Referral Information

Referrals Received         24,331         25,887         26,519         27,673         30,392           Unique Children Involved         35,658         36,736         36,791         37,986         39,684           Total Children Involved **         50,022         51,829         51,713         53,599         57,441           Average Referrals Received Per Month         2028         2157         2210         2306         2533           Abuse Type Reported         9%         9%         10%         9%         9%           Physical Abuse         18%         18%         20%         17%         18%           Severe Neglect         4%         4%         4%         3%         2%           General Neglect         39%         43%         44%         4%         2%           Emotional Abuse         8%         8%         3%         2%         4%           Caretaker Absence/Incapacity         9%         8%         6%         5%         6%           Exploitation         <1%         <1%         <1%         <1%         <1%         <1%           At Risk/Substantial Risk         12%         11%         11%         15%         15%           Not Available (referral						
Unique Children Involved       35,658       36,736       36,791       37,986       39,684         Total Children Involved **       50,022       51,829       51,713       53,599       57,441         Average Referrals Received Per Month       2028       2157       2210       2306       2533         Abuse Type Reported         Sexual Abuse       9%       9%       10%       9%       9%         Physical Abuse       18%       18%       20%       17%       18%         Severe Neglect       4%       4%       4%       3%       2%         General Neglect       39%       43%       43%       44%       42%         Emotional Abuse       8%       8%       3%       2%       4%         Caretaker Absence/Incapacity       9%       8%       6%       5%       6%         Exploitation       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Total Children Involved **       50,022       51,829       51,713       53,599       57,441         Average Referrals Received Per Month       2028       2157       2210       2306       2533         Abuse Type Reported         Sexual Abuse       9%       9%       10%       9%       9%         Physical Abuse       18%       18%       20%       17%       18%         Severe Neglect       4%       4%       4%       3%       2%         General Neglect       39%       43%       43%       44%       42%         Emotional Abuse       8%       8%       3%       2%       4%         Caretaker Absence/Incapacity       9%       8%       6%       5%       6%         Exploitation       <1%						
Abuse Type Reported  Sexual Abuse 9% 9% 10% 9% 9% Physical Abuse 18% 18% 20% 17% 18% Severe Neglect 4% 4% 4% 3% 2% General Neglect 39% 43% 43% 44% 42% Emotional Abuse 8% 8% 3% 2% 4% Caretaker Absence/Incapacity 9% 8% 6% 5% 6% Exploitation <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% At Risk/Substantial Risk 12% 11% 11% 15% 15%					,	,
Abuse Type Reported  Sexual Abuse 9% 9% 10% 9% 9% Physical Abuse 18% 18% 20% 17% 18% Severe Neglect 4% 4% 4% 3% 2% General Neglect 39% 43% 43% 44% 42% Emotional Abuse 8% 8% 3% 2% 4% Caretaker Absence/Incapacity 9% 8% 6% 5% 6% Exploitation <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% <1% At Risk/Substantial Risk 12% 11% 11% 15% 15%						
Sexual Abuse         9%         9%         10%         9%         9%           Physical Abuse         18%         18%         20%         17%         18%           Severe Neglect         4%         4%         4%         3%         2%           General Neglect         39%         43%         43%         44%         42%           Emotional Abuse         8%         8%         3%         2%         4%           Caretaker Absence/Incapacity         9%         8%         6%         5%         6%           Exploitation         <1%	Average Referrals Received Per Month	2028	2157	2210	2306	2533
Physical Abuse       18%       18%       20%       17%       18%         Severe Neglect       4%       4%       4%       3%       2%         General Neglect       39%       43%       43%       44%       42%         Emotional Abuse       8%       8%       3%       2%       4%         Caretaker Absence/Incapacity       9%       8%       6%       5%       6%         Exploitation       <1%	Abuse Type Reported					
Severe Neglect         4%         4%         4%         3%         2%           General Neglect         39%         43%         43%         44%         42%           Emotional Abuse         8%         8%         3%         2%         4%           Caretaker Absence/Incapacity         9%         8%         6%         5%         6%           Exploitation         <1%	Sexual Abuse	9%	9%	10%	9%	9%
General Neglect       39%       43%       43%       44%       42%         Emotional Abuse       8%       8%       3%       2%       4%         Caretaker Absence/Incapacity       9%       8%       6%       5%       6%         Exploitation       <1%		18%	18%	20%	17%	18%
Emotional Abuse       8%       8%       3%       2%       4%         Caretaker Absence/Incapacity       9%       8%       6%       5%       6%         Exploitation       <1%	Severe Neglect	4%	4%	4%	3%	2%
Caretaker Absence/Incapacity         9%         8%         6%         5%         6%           Exploitation         <1%	General Neglect	39%	43%	43%	44%	42%
Exploitation	Emotional Abuse	8%	8%	3%	2%	4%
Exploitation       <1%       <1%       <1%       <1%         At Risk/Substantial Risk       12%       11%       11%       15%       15%	Caretaker Absence/Incapacity	9%	8%	6%	5%	6%
At Risk/Substantial Risk         12%         11%         15%         15%		<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
		12%	11%	11%	15%	15%
						4%

The above figures represent a 6.4% increase in referrals received between 2000 and 2001. 2002 referrals show a 2.44% increase over 2001 which represents a significantly lower rate of increase over the previous 2 years. 2003 referrals show a 4.4% increase over 2002 which represents a higher rate of increase over 2002. There has been an almost 10% increase in the number of referrals received in 2004 as compared to 2003. 2004 referrals received represent an almost 25% increase since 2000.

Demographics – Children involved in referrals are almost evenly split by sex. This ratio has remained constant over the years. The percentage of children age 8 and younger has remained the same as 2002 at 52%. In 2001 52% of children reported have been age 8 or younger. In 1999 42% were age 8 or younger. In 2004 51% of children reported were age 8 or younger while 17% were age 9-11 and 31% were age 12-18.

#### Ethnic Breakdown

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
White	38%	36%	35%	32%	31%
Black	17%	17%	16%	16%	16%
Hispanic	33%	34%	34%	33%	34%
Asian/Pacific Islander	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Native American	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Not Available (referral still open)	10%	13%	15%	17%	17%

<sup>\*\*</sup> this is a duplicate count of children which includes children who had multiple referrals during the reporting period.

### **Petition Information**

ORIGINAL PETITIONS FILING RATE/IN FILING RATE/ALL PERSON RESPONSE REFERRALS 2004 REFERRALS

1904	5% unchanged	4% unchanged
WIC SECTION	# ORIGINAL PETITIONS FILED	% OF TOTAL
A - Physical Abuse B - General Neglect C - Emotional Abuse D - Sexual Abuse E - Severe Abuse Age 5 or under F - Death of Sibling G - Absent Parent H - Freed for Adoption 1 yr I - Cruelty	285 1326 4 156 23 3 49 0	15% 70% <1% 8% 1% < 1% 3% 0% 1%
J - Sibling Abused or Neglected	34	2%

### **Placement Information**

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Court Specified	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Court Specified Foster Family Home	14%	13%	13%	10%	9%
FFA Certified Home	19%	22%	24%	29%	28%
Group Home	6%	7%	7%	9%	9%
Guardian Home	13%	14%	14%	15%	17%
Non-Foster Care **	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
** these are acute care hospital placements					
Relative	45%	42%	39%	33%	35%
Small Family Home	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

The decline in the number of children placed in county-licensed foster family homes has continued – down 4% since 2002. The increase in the number of children placed in Foster Family Agency Certified Homes has leveled off but has still shown a significant increase since 2000. In addition there has been a 10% decline in relative placements since 2000.

Group Home placements have maintained their growth at 9% of placements in 2004. There has been a 3% increase in the number of group home placements since 2000.

### Out of County Placements

Court Specified FFA Certified Home Foster Home Group Home Guardian Home Relative Home Small Family Home	10 350 21 207 22 362 18	<1% 35% 2% 21% 1% 37% 2%
Total	990	

### Out of State Placements

Court Specified	6	5%
FFA Cert Home	0	0%
Foster Home	3	3%
Group Home	4	3%
Guardian Home	3	3%
Relative Home	100	86%
Total	116	

22% of the children currently in placement are placed either out of the county or out of state. The majority of those placed out of county are placed in another Southern California county.

### Ethnicity of Children in Open Placements

ETHNICITY	PERCENTAGE IN PLACEMENT	PERCENTAGE OF SB POPULATION
Asian/Pacific Islander	<1%	4%
Black	25%	10%
Hispanic	35%	49%
Native American	1%	<1%
White	38%	33%
Not Available	<1%	3% Other

### Age of Children In Placement

Years	Number		Years	Number
<1	191		11	332
1	248		12	326
2	243		13	365
3	259		14	386
4	197		15	354
4 5	219		16	303
6	252		17	302
7	245		18	93
8	231		19	10
9	248			
10	282	Total		5091

### Children in Placement by Percentage of Age Group

0-2	13%
3-5	13%
6-9	19%
10-12	18%
13-15	22%
16-18	14%
Over 18	11%

2005 children came into placement in 2004. Of these, 471 or 23% have already been reunified with a parent within 2004. The average length of stay in out-of-home care was 67 days. In addition 772 children who were removed from their homes prior to 2004 were also reunified with a parent in 2004. Their average length of out-of home placement was 1.78 years (653 days).

### Guardianships

Non-Relative	Kin-Gap	
874	622**	active cases in January 2005

### **Program Information**

As of 1/10/2005 the department was providing on-going services to 6512 cases. The program breakdown is 174 in Emergency Response, 949 in Family Maintenance, 2109 in Family Reunification and 3280 in Permanent Placement. The average number of open cases per month has shown a decline over 2002 ranging from a high of 7247 in March 2003 to a low of 6512 in December 2004. The average number of open cases per month for 2004 is 6838.

### Licensed Foster Homes

Foster Home Type	Count	
Emergency Shelter Backup Home Fost-Adopt Fost-Adopt Pending Foster Home Pending Medically Fragile Open Other Special Youth Services	7 261 4 3 3 266 2 30 7	
	Total 583	

### Location of Foster Homes

Geographic Region	Count	Percentage
Barstow including Ft. Irwin	19	3%
Mountain Communities		4%
(Crestline, Big Bear and Lake Arrowhead)		_,-
Needles	2	<1%
Rancho Cucamonga	8	1%
Redlands		9%
(including Colton, Grand Terrace,		
Loma Linda, Yucaipa, and Mentone)		
Rancho East	132	22%
(including Rialto, Bloomington and Fontana)		
Rancho North	55	9%
(including Etiwanda, Rancho Cucamonga,		
Upland and Alta Loma)		
Rancho South	70	12%
(including Montclair, Ontario, and Chino)		
San Bernardino	68	12%
(including Highland)		
Trona	0	0%
Victorville	121	21%
(including Hesperia, Lucerne Valley and Apple Valley)		
Wrightwood	13	2%
(including Phelan, Pinon Hills, and Summit Valley)		
Yucca Valley	14	2%
(including 29 Palms and Joshua Tree)		
Unavailable	0	0%

### Adoptions\*\*

Finalizations	Adoptive Placements
1998 232	1998 238
1999 342	1999 354
2000 416	2000 434
2001 380	2001 408
2002 265	2002 343
2003 602	2003 280
2004 484	2004 492

<sup>\*\*</sup>This is based on adoptions information on CWS/CMS which may not match adoptions information from the Q&A system used by Special Services

### SART

The SART Model of Care for High-Risk Children In San Bernardino County (Screening, Assessment, Referral & Treatment)

### **SUMMARY**

### The Need for SART...

- In 2004, over 57,000 children were referred to Child Protective Services (CPS) in San Bernardino County.
- 80% of these children came from families in which substance abuse is a problem.
- Among over 2,000 pregnant women screened in SBC, 43% were using alcohol or illegal drugs during the pregnancy.
- Maternal substance abuse during pregnancy can cause changes in the structure and function of the developing fetal brain.
- Child abuse and neglect cause further changes in the young child's brain.
- Children with changes in brain structure and function can suffer mental retardation, learning problems, and severe behavioral difficulties.
- Children growing up in homes in which there is abuse, neglect, or substance abuse are much more likely to develop attachment disorders, which complicate the behavioral and learning problems even further.
- If these children do not receive early identification and treatment services before age 5, they are at risk for multiple failed foster care placements leading to institutionalization, school failure, and incarceration as juveniles or adults.
- San Bernardino County spends over \$6 million per month for over 2000 of those children, who in their teens exhibit emotional and behavioral problems that require institutional care.

### The SART system will...

- Ultimately, improve long term outcome of the children, as measured by developmental, behavioral, and mental health status; school readiness; and permanency placement.
- Systematically screen all children birth to five years of age starting with children who enter CPS care.
- Provide comprehensive medical, developmental, and mental health assessment that will
  evaluate all levels of brain functioning in the young child.
- Develop a treatment plan that addresses the young child's specific needs.
- Link the child/family to available treatment services in San Bernardino County (e.g., Regional Center, school-based special education programs) so as to avoid any duplication of services.
- Work with local universities to develop young professionals thereby expanding treatment capacity.
- Establish three centers across the County that will provide a continuum of treatment services based on the child's specific needs rather than based on eligibility.


- Optimize the use of existing resources in the community by reducing duplication of services (e.g., case management).
- Leverage state/local and federal funds to maximize and sustain operational funding.
- Save money across County systems by utilizing existing resources complemented by additional funds to support the SART system infrastructure.


### Funding for the SART system is required....


- The SART system will maximize revenue from Medi-cal
- County funds supplemented by matching federal funds will pay for evaluation and treatment of mental health disabilities.
- Federal funds and third party reimbursement will be utilized to pay for medical evaluation and treatment.
- Private monies will be required to cover those services that are not covered by county and federal funding as well as for the operational infrastructure required to support this comprehensive system of care.


### The SART system will save money...


- Early intervention prevents escalating mental health problems in the child and reduces the need for school-based services.
- Early intervention improves school readiness, decreasing the need for special education services.
- Family-based interventions reduce the inappropriate use of the health care system, especially in emergency room visits.
- Family-based interventions reduce the need for foster care services.
- For those children in the foster care system, early intervention enhances permanency placement, reducing the length of time the child is in foster care.
- Family-based interventions reduce relapse of drug use in the parents.
- The mother's abstinence from drugs after the child is born produces a higher IQ in the child at school age.
- Long-term benefits accrue for children who receive early intervention, reducing the need for more intensive placements such as group homes at an older age.
- Developing a SART "system" complete with an evaluation component will foster sustainability,



















# County Enrollment by Grade 2004-05 (With District Data)


California Department of Education Educational Demographics Unit

District	Dist. Code	¥	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade	Grade 4	Grade	Grade	Grade 7	Grade 8	Ungr. Elem.	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12	Ungr. Sec.	Total	Adults in K-12 Programs
Adelanto Elementary	3667587	738	766	783	757	765	739	752	791	22.	0	۰	0	0	0	0	6,813	0
Alta Loma Elementary	3667595	619	999	742	738	840	855	884	879	1,081	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,299	0
Apple Valley Unified	3675077	186	385	1,032	1,103	1,101	1,165	1,168	1,262	1,244	0	1,391	1,327	1,261	1,120	16	15,166	0
Baker Valley Unified	3673858	21	15	22	61	10	19	24	19	11	0	12	1	11	12	0	221	0
Barstow Unified	3667611	552	586	544	909	577	592	576	119	280	0	285	557	490	428	0	7,286	0
Bear Valley Unified	3667637	200	191	217	243	209	242	259	27.1	317	0	338	263	272	235	0	3,257	0
Central Elementary	3667645	466	282	502	585	920	549	591	653	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,108	0
Chaffey Joint Union High	3667652	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	6,865	6,376	5,921	5,254	0	24,416	0
Chino Valley Unified	3667678	2,150	2,348	2,483	2,493	2,536	2,564	2,601	2,704	2,728	404	2,733	2,582	2,602	2,589	250	33,767	0
Colon Joint Unified	3667686	1,867	2,002	1,955	2,001	2,068	2,091	2,116	2,040	1,96,1	2	1,963	1,846	1,632	1,388	0	24,932	137
Cucamonga Elementary	3667694	315	347	304	336	320	334	299	275	298	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,828	0
Etiwanda Elementary	3667702	1,125	1,277	1,227	1,222	1,317	1,298	1,378	1,470	1,442	0	0	0	0	0	0	11,756	0
Fontana Unified	3667710	3,194	3,546	3,287	3,314	3,488	3,406	3,453	3,297	3,323	0	3,409	3,207	2,867	2,259	0	42,050	0
Helendale Elementary	3667736	8	72	23	88	02	75	5	80	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	644	0
Hesperia Unified	3675044	1,215	1,867	1,384	1,417	1,471	1,494	1,437	1,552	1,576	0	1,680	1,574	1,385	1,180	0	18,722	0
Lucerne Valley Unified	3675051	29	69	54	82	8	70	8	96	83	0	8	96	83	62	0	1,014	0
Morongo Unified	3667777	725	772	741	707	739	793	754	732	762	0	22	765	199	571	0	9,563	0

Mountain View Elementary	3667785	369	28	332	364	384	417	77.8	431	411	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,436	0
Mt. Baidy Joint Elementary	3667793	10	12	80	10	on	13	1	7	en	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Needles Unified	3667801	88	86	84	86	112	95	116	26	8	0	26	87	35	99	0	1,189	0
Ontario-Montclair Elementary	3667819	2,796	2,881	2,844	2,981	1,071	3,022	2,857	2,999	2,842	0	0	0	0	0	0	26,293	0
Oro Grande Elementary	3667827	20	5	23	36	65	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	126	0
Redlands Unified	3667843	1,354	1,436	1,463	1,481	1,546	1,560	1,558	1,702	1,723	0	1,974	1,829	1,799	1,710	0	21,135	0
Riatto Unified	3667850	2,202	2,428	2,448	2,414	2,471	2,454	2,466	2,520	2,491	0	2,659	2,594	2,105	1,635	0	30,887	0
Rim Of The World Unified	3667868	389	357	368	404	401	404	431	462	909	0	534	527	510	417	0	5,712	0
San Bernardino City Unified	3667876	4,627	5,017	4,781	4,886	4,913	5,016	4,746	4,679	4,483	0	6,604	3,921	3,054	2,378	0	59,105	0
San Bemardino Co Cya Dis	3632276	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	83	0	72	115	166	16	0	477	103
San Bernardino Co. Office Of	3610363	160	126	146	131	134	135	138	220	236	0	377	499	388	535	0	3,236	0
Silver Valley Unified	3673890	301	246	259	254	221	222	193	186	185	0	162	155	88	66	11	2,596	0
Snowline Joint Unified	3673957	431	513	487	510	557	582	999	703	787	0	846	719	705	571	0	8,079	0
Trona Joint Unified	3667892	28	22	22	22	23	30	28	32	83	0	23	36	8	24	0	345	0
Upland Unified	3675069	817	25	982	872	974	978	1,041	1,093	1,096	0	1,385	1,362	1,377	1,132	0	13,866	0
Victor Elementary	3667918	1,412	1,516	1,516	1,455	1,567	1,625	1,514	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,605	0
Victor Valley Union High	3667934	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,550	1,577	0	2,721	2,382	2,107	1,568	112	12,017	146
Yucaipa-Calmesa Jt. Unified	3667959	630	883	642	069	716	762	738	111	816	142	908	793	815	995	126	9,748	0
SAN BERNARDINO TOTAL		29,925	32,068	31,648	32,310	33,257	33,615	33,333	34,188	34,175	248	38,163	33,626	30,396	25,985	25	423,780	386
State Total:		455,149 477,557	477,557	474,854	481,280	488,045	492,895	491,308	492,919	498,806 3	32,391 5	549,471	497,206	459,130	409,576	21,602	6,322,189	3,750
Starting in 1998-99, enrollment figures include California Youth Autho Starting in 2000-01, enrollment figures include State Special Schools.	figures includ	e State Sp	a Youth A	Aumonity (CYA.) schools hools.	(A) school	ui.												

Students by Ethnicity
San Bernardino County, 2004-05

	Coun	ty	State
	Enrollment	Percent of Total	Percent of Total
American Indian	2,733	0.6%	0.8%
Asian	11,957	2.8%	8.1%
Pacific Islander	2,121	0.5%	0.6%
Filipino	5,692	1.3%	2.6%
Hispanic	221,608	52.3%	46.8%
African American	47,407	11.2%	8.0%
White	120,113	28.3%	31.3%
Multiple/ No Response	12,149	2.9%	1.7%
Total	423,780	100%	100%
ALSO SEE ▶	Students by E	thnicity de	efinitions



BACK TO TOP\_

### Special Programs

San Bernardino County, 2004-05

Special Programs information will be posted when it becomes available. Numerous special programs serve students who meet certain criteria. Assistance is provided in different ways, such as a hot meal during the school day or extra instructional time. Participation may vary from year to year depending on student enrollment.

BACK TO TOP\_★

### Alternative Education San Bernardino County, 2004-05

	Alternative Enrollment	Percent of Total Enrollment
Continuation Classes	5,047	1.2%
Community/ Experience Based	110	0.0%
Opportunity	870	0.2%

A variety of alternative programs meet specific student needs in addition to the regular educational program. These alternative courses of study may be mandated (as with a continuation high school) or optional (as with a magnet school). With the exception of independent study and magnets, these programs largely serve high school students.

Total	18,283	4.3%
Other	817	0.2%
Independent Study	7,078	1.7%
Pregnant/ Parenting	467	0.1%
Magnet	3,894	0.9%

ALSC SEE > Alternative Education definitions

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Office (CBEDS, sifgl04 8/30/05)

#### BACK TO TOP.

### Languages of English Learner Students San Bernardino County, 2004-05

Number of Students	Percent of Enrollment
79,505	18.8%
804	0.2%
513	0.1%
470	0.1%
455	0.1%
2,665	0.6%
84,412	19.9%
	79,505 804 513 470 455 2,665

ALSC SEE ► EL Student definitions

Source: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Office (language census, elsch05 8/29/05)

About a quarter of California's public school students need to learn English in order to succeed in school. The percentages are highest in the early grades -- approximately 36% of K-3 children in 2004-05. Of the 60+ languages in classrooms, by far the greatest number of English learners, more than 85%, speak Spanish.

A grade-by-grade list of the languages reported in California schools is at <u>DataQuest</u>.

### ВАСК ТО ТОР\_

### **High School Performance**

You can now see data on <u>dropouts</u>, <u>graduates</u>, and <u>graduates with UC/CSU</u> course requirements on the High School Performance tab of the Accountability report. Additional information about high school students is available from the California Department of Education for <u>average SAT scores</u>, <u>High School Exit Exam results</u>, and full <u>STAR testing data</u>.

# California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) Results for Mathematics & English Language Arts

for Mathematics & English Language Arts by Program (Combined 2005) - All Grades San Bernardino County

District		Subject	All Students	Special Education Students	English Learner (EL) Students	Redesignated Fluent- English Proficient (RFEP) Students	Socio- economically Disadvantaged	Not socio- economically Disadvantaged
			_	_			_	_
					-	-		
	# Tested	ELA	122	16	0	0	12	103
	Passing		105 (86%)	6 (38%)	1	1	11 (92%)	87 (84%
				_	-	•	_	_
						:		
	# Tested	ELA	1,438	266	72	9	517	763
	Passing		1,000 (70%)	80 (30%)	34 (47%)	:	308 (60%)	602 (79%
			_			•	•	
				=	=	:	:	
	# Tested	ELA	18	2	5	5	5	(
	Passing		11 (61%)	1	1	1	1	
			_	_	-		_	-
	# Tested	ELA	687	138	85	15	368	79
	Passing	78	411 (60%)	27 (20%)	36 (42%)	13 (87%)	185 (50%)	34 (43%
					-		,	, -
						=		
	# Tested	ELA	307	34	19	1	105	166
	Passing		242 (79%)	8 (24%)	8 (42%)	1	66 (63%)	147 (89%
						_		I I
	# Tested	ELA	8,237	1,156	1,727	667	3,151	4,920
	Passing	3	5,337 (65%)	288 (25%)	461 (27%)	569 (85%)	1,531 (49%)	3,697 (75%

	# Tested	ELA	3,373	439	412	215	890	1,801
	Passing		2,440 (72%)	153 (35%)	132 (32%)	186 (87%)	531 (60%)	1,479 (82%
						_		_
	# Tested	ELA	2,477	385	511	191	1,354	812
	Passing		1,430 (58%)	67 (17%)	119 (23%)	154 (81%)	709 (52%)	561 (69%)
					=	•		
<u> </u>						=		
	# Tested	ELA	408	37	9	11	218	178
	Passing		279 (68%)	11 (30%)	•	8 (73%)	130 (60%)	140 (79%)
	1 doding		275 (0076)	11 (00 10)			100 (00 %)	140 (15%)
	_	_						
	# Tested	ELA	4,285	629	1,475	33	2,961	945
	Passing		2,394 (56%)	91 (14%)	511 (35%)	11 (33%)	1,590 (54%)	635 (67%)
				•	•	•	-	
				=	=			
	# Tested	ELA	38	0	0	0	11	26
	Passing		35 (92%)	-	-	# <u></u>	9 (82%)	25 (96%
						-	_	_
	# Tested	ELA	2,057	401	347	79	905	860
	Passing		1,285 (62%)	74 (18%)	128 (37%)	74 (94%)	493 (54%)	645 (75%)
					•	-		
				-	-	=		
	# Tested	FLA	38	9	- 0	0	17	16
	Passing		18 (47%)		•		7 (41%)	
	Facoling			-	-	_		9 (56%)
	_		_	-	-	-	_	
				=	=	=		
	# Tested	ELA	56	1	4	0	21	28
	Passing		29 (52%)	-	-		11 (52%)	13 (46%)
			_	-	•	•		0
					:	=		
	# Tested	ELA	129	21	1	1	65	41
	Passing		87 (67%)	5 (24%)	:	0.1	47 (72%)	32 (78%)
				-	•	_		
					-			
	# Tested	ELA	806	103	4	14	297	434
	Passing	LLM					-	
			598 (74%)	17 (17%)	-	8 (57%)	193 (65%)	349 (80%)
10				-	•			
					=			
	# Tested	ELA	91	14	2	0	40	46
	Passing		59 (65%)	4 (29%)	1	- 1	21 (53%)	34 (74%)

F			-	-	-	-	-	
				=	=	=		
	# Tested	ELA	16	2	0	0	11	5
	Passing		9 (56%)		<u>:</u>	-	6 (55%)	
				-	•	•	_	_
					-	-		
	# Tested	ELA	459	25	2	1	259	185
	Passing		316 (69%)	4 (16%)	- :	:	166 (64%)	141 (76%)
				-	•	-	_	_
					=			
	# Tested	ELA	443	12	0	0	264	154
	Passing		299 (67%)	4 (33%)	<u>:</u>	:	169 (64%)	116 (75%)
						_	_	
	# Tested	ELA	2,331	383	293	55	832	1,470
	Passing		1,668 (72%)	86 (22%)	136 (46%)	51 (93%)	476 (57%)	1,178 (80%)
				-	-			
	# Tested	ELA	2,926	373	664	132	1,629	791
	Passing		1,764 (60%)	83 (22%)	224 (34%)	127 (96%)	909 (56%)	558 (71%)
10			_	-	-	•	_	_
						=		
	# Tested	ELA	559	46	31	8	134	323
	Passing		461 (82%)	23 (50%)	17 (55%)	- :	98 (73%)	283 (88%)
	# Tested	ELA	5,586	1,007	1,756	258	3,239	1,458
	Passing		2,814 (50%)	257 (26%)	551 (31%)	233 (90%)	1,403 (43%)	981 (67%)
			_	-	-	•	-	
						0.5		
	# Tested	ELA	836	199	62	0	550	146
	Passing		234 (28%)	24 (12%)	9 (15%)	1	152 (28%)	45 (31%)
1.0				-	•	•	-	
					=			
	# Tested	ELA	212	33	2	2	69	105
	Passing		156 (74%)	9 (27%)	- :		47 (68%)	85 (81%)
					-	-		
	# Tested	ELA	881	143	50	17	257	587
	Passing		697 (79%)	55 (38%)	17 (34%)	16 (94%)	178 (69%)	490 (83%)
			-	•		•	-	

<u> </u>								
	Passing		32 (64%)			-	19 (66%)	8 (53%)
				_	_	_	_	
	# Tested	ELA	1,190	180	132	50	359	784
	Passing		875 (74%)	60 (33%)	46 (35%)	46 (92%)	196 (55%)	653 (83%)
				_		_		
	# Tested	ELA	2,221	415	172	22	1,173	829
	Passing		1,384 (62%)	89 (21%)	59 (34%)	18 (82%)	635 (54%)	614 (74%)
				_		_	_	
	# Tested	ELA	1,034	155	80	38	303	662
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Passing		714 (69%)	40 (26%)	31 (39%)	35 (92%)	166 (55%)	511 (77%)
ş	# Tested	ELA	43,311	6,629	7,917	1,825	20,045	18,732
s .	Passing		27,183 (63%)	1,569 (24%)	2,531 (32%)	1,571 (86%)	10,464 (52%)	14,155 (76%)
	# Tested	ELA	639,329	79,049	155,537	63,571	294,943	255,574
<u> </u>	Passing		415,856 (65%)	20,059 (25%)	51,839 (33%)	52,875 (83%)	151,330 (51%)	206,472 (81%)

# Dropouts by Grade 2003-04 (With District Data)

California Department of Education

Educational Demographics Unit

District	Gr. 7 Drop	Gr. 7 Enroll	,	Gr. 8 Drop	Gr. 8 Enroll	8 6	Gr. 8 Drop	Gr. 9 Enroll	*	Or. 10 O	Gr. 10 Enroll		Gr. 11 G Drop E	Gr. 11 Enroll	,	Gr. 12 Drap	Gr. 12 Enroll	o a -	Other Ti Beady D	Total Drop El	Total B. B	Pate 9. Lin	걸쳤 리 덤
ANTO ELEMENTARY 3807587	۰	545	\$ 000	0	8	9 #	0	0	* 00	0	0	₩ 0.0	0	0	* 00	0	0	\$ 00	0	0		1	0.0
LOMA ELEMENTARY 3007505	۰	1,068	\$ 00	٥	1,005	9 *	0	0	\$ 90	0	0	* 00	•	0	\$ 90	0	0	<b>\$</b>		•	•		90
E VALLEY UNIFIED 3675072	56	1,200	22.8	ů.	1,212	5 %	51	1,330	* 60	8	1,284	1.6%	8	1,180	25%	m	88	35.8		58	4,741	2	50
R VALLEY UNIFIED 3673858	۰	\$	\$ 0.0	0	4	9 *	0	ħ	* 00		22	\$ 00	•	뒫	* 00	0	F	<b>\$</b>	•	•	8	8	00
TOW UNIFIED 3607611	÷	G	1.0%	9	8	2 %	17	ž	* 12	8	8	3.8%	2	512	37.8	82	蒋	\$ 95	4	12	1,960	Ē	3.0
VALLEY UNIFIED 3007037	7	328	1.2%	0	300	9 #	04	282	\$ 20	us .	317	1.0%	5	276	1.8%	0	葛	3.4 %		2	1,142	ű	1,8
RAL ELEMENTARY 3007045	۰	100	\$ 000	0	689	9 *	0	0	\$ 00		0	₩ 0.0	•	0	\$ 00	0	0	\$ 00	•	•	0	:	0.0
PEY JOINT UNION HIGH 3867652	٥	•	\$ 0.0	0	0	3 *	117	6,503	1.8 %	20	6,210	12%	55	5,549	17.8	280	5,00	8.7.8	•	25	23,341	10.0	52
O VALLEY UNIFIED 3667078	٥	2,732	\$ 00	0	2,622	g #	0	2,548	* 90	0	2,585	* 000	-	2,482	\$ 90	64	2,300	\$ 1.0	287	m	10,282	5	90
ON JOINT UNIFIED 3867866	-	2,012	\$ 0.0	7	2,058	20 8	85	2,048	28%	8	1,844	27.8	8	1,466	3.1.8	146	1,374	8 8	•	000	6,738	18.2	45
MONGA ELEMENTARY 3667604	٥	300	\$ 0.0	0	305	9 *	0	•	* 00	•	0	* 00	•	•	* 00	0	•	\$ 00	•	•	-	•	00
ANDA ELEMENTARY 3807702	0	1,401	% 0°0	0	1,416	9 *	0	•	\$ 00	•	0	* 00	•	•	\$ 00	0	•	\$ 00	•	•	•	•	0.0
WAS UNIFIED 300710	8	3,358	25%	160	3,214	9.5	190	3,182	\$ 00	202	3,097	# 50	522	2,674	878	781	2,183	\$ 00	•	EFE	11,136	28.7	7.3
NDALE ELEMENTARY 380738	0	봕	\$ 0.0	٥	8	9 *	0	0	\$ 00	0	0	* 00	•	•	\$ 00	0	0	\$ 00	•	•	•	1	00
ERIA UNIBED 36/5044	16	ES <sub>T</sub>	1.0%	4	1,471	g #	99	1,405	43%	27	1,342	3.6%	37	1,288	20.8	3	8	% S 0	0	88	5,027	10.1	42
SNE VALLEY UNITED 3075051	٥	88	% 0.0	٥	8	9 #	0	8	% 0°0		86	* 00	0	12	* 00	0	7.	\$ 00		•	341	8	00
0NGO UNIRED 3667777	-	820	81.0	m	er.r	3 *	2	83	47.8	81	710	3.1%	22	95	20.8	a	905	23.8	•	2	2,683	12.4	3.0

MOUNTAIN VIEW ELEMENTARY 3007785	°	400	0.0%	۰	410	9 #	۰	۰	\$ 00	•	0	* 00	•	•	* 00	0	۰	\$ 00	•	•	•	1	90
MT. BALDY JOINT ELEMENTARY 3007793	0	°	0.0 %	٥	•	9 #	٥	°	% go	°	٥	\$ 00	°	•	\$ 00	0	۰	\$ 00	°	°	•	•	0.0
MEDLES UNFIED 3M7801	0	25	0.0 %	0	101	9 #	7	8	42%	•	72	8.1.8	4	E	\$3.8	7	15	£3.	•	22	305	50.9	7.0
ONTARIO-MONTCLAIR ELEMENTARY 3007819	0	2,903	0.0 %	0	2,984	9 8	0	۰	% 0°0	0	0	\$ 0.0	•	•	\$ 0.0	0	۰	\$ 000	•	•	•	1	0.0
ORO GRANDE ELEMENTARY 3007827	0	200	0.0 %	0	228	9 *	0	75	% 0°0	0	180	\$ 0.0	0	145	\$ 0.0	0	52	\$ 00	0	0	768	93	0.0
REDLANDS UNIFIED 3007843	7	1,633	0.2 %	m	1,713	8 00	12	1,814	9.70	5	1,795	\$ 900	2	1,708	\$ 970	4	1,58	11.8	•	\$	0,880	2.9	0.7
SIMITO UNIFIED 3007850	9	2,510	25%	8	2,491	2 *	ä	2,502	37.8	ā	2,300	\$2.8	101	1,941	\$58	8	1,502	\$	×	411	8,346	19.2	20
RIM OF THE WORLD UNFIED 3007888	55	523	10.5	٥	403	9 #	m	173	% 90	-	35	0.2%	**	449	1.8%	93	438	% 6 S	•	88	1,900	8.8	2.0
SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED 3007870	40	4,492	0.0 %	22	4,477	2 8	¥	6,000	% 9°S	208	3,981	\$3.8	99	2,925	\$7.8	130	2,257	82.8	•	158	15,242	20.9	9.6
SAN BERNARDING CO CYA DIB 3/32778	۰	•	0.0 %	٥	4	9 #	0	2	% 0°0	0	104	\$ 0.0	•	3	\$ 0.0	0	120	\$ 00	•	0	85	3	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO CO. OFFICE OF E 3610303	•	217	0.0 %	0	284	9 #	0	ā	\$ 00	•	280	1.0%	th	ži Ži	818	11	ā	<b>2</b>	•	26	1,927	82	1.0
SILVER VALLEY UNIFIED 3073890	٥	202	0.0 %	0	ħ	9 8	0	1,1	% 0°0	0	117	\$ 00	•	82	\$ 0.0	0	108	\$ 000	2	0	850	9	0.0
SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED 3073057	0	792	0.0 %	0	3	9.00	0	8	% 00	0	1,115	\$ 0.0	•	758	\$ 0.0	-	28	0.1%	•	-	3,940	1.0	0.0
TRONA JOINT UNFIED 3007802	۰	38	0.0 %	0	22	9.8	•	*	% 0°0	•	23	\$ 0.0	•	R	\$ 0.0	0	Ħ	\$ 000	•	•	121	98	0.0
UPLAND UNIFIED 3075000	25	1,124	200	20	1,085	2 %	224	1,263	17.7	8	1,355	8 H	175	1,206	25 %	170	1,00,1	45.0 R	۰	792	4,805	49.5	15.7
VICTOR VALLEY UNION HIGH 3867934	94	1,473	3.1 %	99	1,395	28	250	2,441	1.5	302	2,232	12.0	Į.	1,812	£ *	58	1,387	1. a	142	286	7,984	42.4	12.4
YUCAPA-CALIMEBAJT. UNFIED 3007050	0	795	0.0 %	0	708	90	0	708	% 00	4	814	95.0	**	758	11.8	7	48	1.0%	\$	2	3,178	25	970
County Total	37.4	34,202	1.1%	084	34,000	7 8	1,432	775,85	30%	1,283	33,224	3.8%	1,215	28,849	4.2%	1,450	24,828	% 65	3	5,389	123,622	16.7	2
State Totals.	4,056	4,056 500,412	0.8 %	0.8% 4,026	500,368	9.0	12,278	528,561	23%	12,807	400,214	2.0%	13,034 4	440,540	3.0%	23,090 3	395,194	88.85	22,418 6	812,18	7,878,927	13.1	33

# Expulsion Information for 2003-04 San Berandino County

California Department of Education Educational Demographics Unit


Educational Demographics Unit		Total Number	Total Number of	Total Number of	Total Number of Students Whose
District	CBEDS Enrollment	of Students Recommended for Expulsion			Orders were Suspended
Adelanto Elementary	6,141	26	26	0	5
Alta Loma Elementary	7,503	23	20	0	10
Apple Valley Unified	14,475	97	93	9	66
Baker Valley Unified	220	0	0	0	0
Barstow Unified	7,010	46	44	5	2
Bear Valley Unified	3,428	56	31	0	14
Central Elementary	5,320	10	1	0	1
Chaffey Joint Union High	23,341	226	226	10	79
Chino Valley Unified	33,340	43	35	1	2
Colton Joint Unified	24,936	220	220	12	66
Cucamonga Elementary	2,898	11	11	0	1
Etiwanda Elementary	11,294	5	5	2	1
Fontana Unified	41,343	345	320	8	82
Helendale Elementary	598	0	0	0	0
Hesperia Unified	17,051	113	113	5	44
Lucerne Valley Unified	986	21	21	0	17
Morongo Unified	9,473	175	166	13	65
Mountain View Elementary	3,482	3	3	0	1
Mt. Baldy Joint Elementary	86	0	0	0	0
Needles Unified	1,185	4	4	0	0

Ontario-Montclair Elementary	27,010	27	27	0	12
Oro Grande Elementary	3,237	0	0	0	0
Redlands Unified	20,643	100	100	6	24
Rialto Unified	30,431	261	211	10	33
Rim of the World Unified	5,618	40	38	0	29
San Bernardino City Unified	57,818	515	380	7	136
San Bernardino Co. Off. of Education	3,413	5	5	2	5
Silver Valley Unified	2,630	9	9	0	7
Snowline Joint Unified	9,521	82	73	0	33
Trona Joint Unified	347	0	0	0	0
Upland Unified	13,585	44	19	3	0
Victor Elementary	9,805	7	7	0	0
Victor Valley Union High	10,852	175	161	2	0
Yucaipa-Calimesa Jt. Unified	9,622	80	76	5	68
Countywide:	419,084	2,769	2,445	100	803
Statewide:	6,298,774	26,535	21,501	1,920	8,777

### San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools 2004-2005 Annual SARB Report

### Districts Reporting a Local SARB Board:

- Adelanto School District
- Alta Loma School District
- Apple Valley School District
- Barstow School District
- Bear Valley School District
- Central School District
- Chaffey School District
- Chino Valley School District
- Colton Joint School District
- Cucamonga School District
- Etiwanda School District
- Fontana School District
- Hesperia School District
- Morongo School District
- Mountain View School District
- Needles School District
- Ontario Montclair School District
- Redlands School District
- Rialto School District
- Rim of the World School District
- San Bernardino City School District
- Silver Valley School District
- Snowline School District
- Trona School District
- Upland School District
- Victor Elementary School District
- Victor Valley Union High School District
- Yucaipa Calimesa School District





## County Residents Receiving Aid Distribution By Cities

Prepared June 2005 (Based on data as of January 1, 2005)

Attached is information concerning distribution of CalWORKs (cash benefits), Food Stamps, and Medi-Cal in the cities and communities in San Bernardino County. The benefit populations refer to persons not cases. In 2004, a new automated eligibility and benefit determination system was implemented to improve service to those in need. In addition, new state regulations and programs have increased participation in the Food Stamp and Medi-Cal programs which are often a critical support to low-wage working families. Receipt of CalWORKs has continued to decline which may be a result of the rapid increase in employment opportunities that is currently occurring in our county. While CalWORKs participation has decreased, the increase in Medi-Cal and Food Stamp participation has caused the total amount that these programs contribute to the economy of San Bernardino County to increase to 1.8 billion dollars.


Exhibit I ranks the cities with cash benefits as a percentage of the general population. The ranking ranges from a high of 12.5% for the City of Barstow to a low of 0.4% for Chino Hills. Exhibit IA displays this information graphically.


Exhibit II displays the financial value of assistance, which includes CalWORKs, Food Stamps, and Medi-Cal, by assistance category and by total for each city. For example, the annual financial value of assistance in the City of San Bernardino is approximately \$411 million dollars. The value of assistance is based on statistics from CalWORKs and Food Stamp benefit disbursement and the California Department of Health Services.

### Cities' Cash Benefit Population Ranked By Percentage of Population At January 1, 2005

Exhibit I

		0/0	
		OF PEOPLE	
CITY		ON CASH AID	
Barstow		12.5%	
San Bernard	 lino	11.7%	
Adelanto		11.6%	
Needles		8.4%	
Victorville		6.9%	
Colton		6.1%	
Apple Valle	y	6.0%	
Hesperia		5.9%	
Rialto		5.8%	
Yucca Valley	y	5.4%	
Fontana		5.0%	
Highland		4.4%	
Twentynine	Palms	4.4%	
Big Bear Lal	ke	3.4%	
Ontario		3.0%	
Montclair		2.9%	
Redlands		2.8%	
Upland		2.2%	
Loma Linda	L .	1.9%	
Unincorpora	ated	1.9%	
Yucaipa		1.9%	
Chino		1.6%	
Grand Terra	ice	1.6%	
Rancho Cuc	amonga	1.1%	
Chino Hills		0.4%	








# Behavioral Health Department




Appendix 6










### Currently Served Vs. Youth in Need










Appendix 7


## San Hermediae County Probation Department Geograms and seeders to the youth


<del></del>

















Youth in various stages & programs while in custody

## Sam Bernardhno Sam Bernardhno County Sheriff s Report



Appendix 8





Appendix 9

